1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	a Samuel . The	
4		2015 - 10:01 a.m.
5	Concord, New	-
6		NHPUC JANO4'16 PM 3:40
7	RE:	
8		ELECTRIC AND GAS UTILITIES: 2015-2016 CORE New Hampshire Electric
9	4	and Gas Energy Efficiency Programs.
10	PRESENT:	Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding
11		Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
12		Sandy Deno, Clerk
13		
14		
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Public Service of New Hampshire: Matthew J. Fossum, Esq.
16		
17		Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. & Liberty Utilities (Granite State
18		Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.
19		Reptg. Unitil Energy Systems and
20	v	Northern Utilities: Patrick H. Taylor, Esq.
21		Reptg. New Hampshire Electric Cooperative:
22		Mark W. Dean, Esq.
23	COURT	REPORTER: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
0.4		



1		
2	APPEARANCES:	(continued)
3		Reptg. Community Action Association.: Ryan Clouthier, Energy Director
4		
5		Reptg. N.H. Office of Energy & Planning: Meredith A. Hatfield, Esq., Director
6		Reptg. The Way Home: Dennis Labbe, Esq. (N.H. Legal Assistance)
7		
8		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers: Susan Chamberlin, Esq., Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate
9		
10		Reptg. PUC Staff: Rorie E. P. Hollenberg, Esq.
11		James J. Cunningham, Jr., Electric Division
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: THOMAS R. BELAIR ERIC M. STANLEY	
5	JAMES J. CUNNINGHAM, JR.	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Patterson	8
7	Direct examination by Mr. Fossum	9
8	Cross-examination by Ms. Chamberlin	15
9	Interrogatories by Commissioner Scott	15
10	Interrogatories by Commissioner Bailey	20
11		
12	* * *	
13		
13 14	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: Mr. Labbe	PAGE NO. 28
14		
14 15	Mr. Labbe	28
14 15 16	Mr. Labbe Mr. Clouthier	28 29
14 15 16 17	Mr. Labbe Mr. Clouthier Ms. Hatfield	28 29 29
14 15 16 17	Mr. Labbe Mr. Clouthier Ms. Hatfield Ms. Chamberlin	28 29 29 30
14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Labbe Mr. Clouthier Ms. Hatfield Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Patterson	28 29 29 30 31
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Labbe Mr. Clouthier Ms. Hatfield Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Patterson	28 29 29 30 31
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Labbe Mr. Clouthier Ms. Hatfield Ms. Chamberlin Ms. Patterson	28 29 29 30 31

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE	NO.
4	5	Joint Utilities' 2016 New Hampshire Statewide CORE Energy Efficiency	7
5		Plan for the Period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2016	
6	6	Testimony of James J. Cunningham, Jr.,	7
7	O	including attachments (11-12-15)	,
8	7	Settlement Agreement (12-09-15)	7
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	PROCEEDING
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We're here this
3	morning in Docket DE 14-216. This is a cast of thousands
4	docket involving the 2015-2016 CORE electric energy
5	efficiency programs and natural gas energy efficiency
6	programs. We're in the middle of a two-year cycle, and
7	hear for updates of the plan.
8	So, before we go any further, let's take
9	appearances.
10	MR. FOSSUM: Good morning,
11	Commissioners. Matthew Fossum, for Public Service Company
12	of New Hampshire, doing business as Eversource Energy.
13	MR. SHEEHAN: Good morning. Mike
14	Sheehan, for Liberty Utilities.
15	MR. TAYLOR: Good morning. Patrick
16	Taylor, on behalf of Northern Utilities and Unitil Energy
17	Systems.
18	MR. DEAN: Good morning. Mark Dean,
19	representing the New Hampshire Electric Cooperative.
20	MR. LABBE: Good morning. Dennis Labbe,
21	representing The Way Home.
22	MR. CLOUTHIER: Good morning. Ryan
23	Clouthier, representing the New Hampshire Community Action
24	Agencies.

1	MS. HATFIELD: Good morning,
2	Commissioners. Meredith Hatfield, for the Office of
3	Energy & Planning.
4	MS. CHAMBERLIN: Good morning. Susan
5	Chamberlin, Consumer Advocate for the residential
6	ratepayers.
7	MS. PATTERSON: Good morning. Rorie
8	Patterson and Jim Cunningham, here for the Commission.
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Patterson, we
10	have a Settlement Agreement, we have testimony from the
11	utilities and from Mr. Cunningham. How are we going to
12	proceed this morning?
13	MS. PATTERSON: Well, we would propose
14	that exhibits be marked, that the filing made by the
15	utilities in September be marked "Exhibit 5", and this is
16	by agreement, that the testimony filed by Mr. Cunningham
17	be marked "Exhibit 6", and the Settlement Agreement be
18	marked "Exhibit 7", for identification purposes.
19	And, then, we will call we propose to
20	call a panel, involving two utility representatives and
21	Staff's representative, for questioning on the filing,
22	testimony, and the Settlement Agreement.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That makes sense.
24	Off the record.

1	[Brief off-the-record discussion
2	ensued.]
3	(The documents, as described, were
4	herewith marked as Exhibit 5, Exhibit 6,
5	and Exhibit 7 , respectively, for
6	identification.)
7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So,
8	those documents have been marked. So, I think you're
9	ready to put the panel up then?
10	MS. PATTERSON: Sure. Thank you. I'd
11	like to call Mr. James Cunningham to the stand please.
12	MR. FOSSUM: And, from the utilities,
13	Tom Belair and Eric Stanley will also be on the panel.
14	MS. PATTERSON: If I might proceed?
15	(Whereupon Thomas R. Belair ,
16	Eric M. Stanley, and James J.
17	Cunningham, Jr., were duly sworn by the
18	Court Reporter.)
19	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Now, Ms. Patterson.
20	MS. PATTERSON: Thank you so much. I'd
21	just like to introduce Mr. Cunningham, before turning it
22	over to the utilities for questioning.
23	THOMAS R. BELAIR, SWORN
24	ERIC M. STANLEY, SWORN

[WITNESSES: Belair~Stanley~Cunningham] 1 JAMES J. CUNNINGHAM, JR., SWORN 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. PATTERSON: Mr. Cunningham, would you please state your full name 4 Q. 5 for the record. (Cunningham) Yes. My name is James J. Cunningham, Jr. 6 Α. 7 And, by whom are you employed? Q. 8 (Cunningham) By the New Hampshire Public Utilities Α. Commission. 9 10 What is your position with the New Hampshire Public Q. Utilities Commission? 11 12 (Cunningham) I am a Utility Analyst here at the Α. 13 Commission. 14 And, in that capacity, did you review the utilities' 15 filing in this docket? 16 Α. (Cunningham) Yes, I did. 17 Q. And, are you familiar, having reviewed that, and 18 recognize that that has been marked for identification as "Exhibit 5"? 19 20 Α. (Cunningham) Yes, I am. 21 Okay. Thank you. And, did you file testimony in this Q.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And, did you file testimony in this docket?
- 23 A. (Cunningham) Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. And, do you have any corrections to make to that

- 1 testimony today?
- 2 A. (Cunningham) No, I don't.
- Q. And, if you were asked those questions today, would your answers be the same?
- 5 A. (Cunningham) Yes, they would be.
- Q. Okay. And, you are aware that that document has been marked as "Exhibit 6" for identification?
- 8 A. (Cunningham) Yes.
- 9 Q. And, do you have copies of those two documents before you?
- 11 A. (Cunningham) Yes, I do.
- MS. PATTERSON: Thank you.
- 13 BY MR. FOSSUM:
- Q. And, now, Mr. Belair, if you could state your full name, your place of employment, and your responsibilities for the record please.
- 17 A. (Belair) Yes. Thomas R. Belair, Manager -- is this on?
- Manager -- Thomas R. Belair, Manager of the Energy
- 19 Efficiency Programs for Eversource in New Hampshire.
- 20 And, I'm responsible for the implementation of the
- 21 energy efficiency programs.
- Q. And, Mr. Belair, as part of your responsibilities, did
- you participate in the -- in putting together the
- filing that has been marked as "Exhibit 5" in this

- docket, the September CORE Energy Efficiency Plan filing?
- 3 A. (Belair) Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. And, so, you are familiar with its terms?
- 5 A. (Belair) Yes.
- Q. And, Mr. Belair, did you also participate in settlement
- 7 discussions in this docket, culminating in what has
- been marked as "Exhibit 7"?
- 9 A. (Belair) Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. And, so, you're familiar with the terms of that
 11 Settlement Agreement?
- 12 A. (Belair) Yes.
- 13 Q. And, Mr. Stanley, the same questions for you. If you
- could state your full name, your place of employment,
- and your responsibilities for the record please.
- 16 A. (Stanley) Eric Matthew Stanley. I'm employed at
- 17 Liberty Utilities Service Corp. My title is the Manger
- 18 of Energy Efficiency and Customer Programs. And, I'm
- responsible for all planning and implementation
- 20 activities for the Company's New Hampshire energy
- 21 efficiency programs.
- 22 Q. And, in the course of your responsibilities, did you
- also participate in putting together the CORE Energy
- 24 Efficiency Plan filing that was made in September, and

- which has been marked as "Exhibit 5"?
- 2 A. (Stanley) Yes.
- 3 Q. And, you're familiar with the terms of that submission?
- 4 A. (Stanley) Yes.
- 5 Q. And, likewise, did you participate in the settlement
- 6 discussions that culminated in the Agreement that has
- 7 been filed as "Exhibit 7"?
- 8 A. (Stanley) Yes.
- 9 Q. And, you're familiar with the terms of that Agreement?
- 10 A. (Stanley) Yes.
- 11 Q. And, just for efficiency sake then, Mr. Belair, is it
- 12 your opinion that the filing that has been marked for
- identification as "Exhibit 5", as modified by the
- 14 Settlement Agreement marked as "Exhibit 7", are just
- and reasonable and in the public interest?
- 16 A. (Belair) Yes.
- 17 Q. And, Mr. Stanley, do you agree that those two filings,
- as combined, are just and reasonable and in the public
- 19 interest?
- 20 A. (Stanley) I do.
- MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. Now, I turn to
- 22 Ms. Patterson to question Mr. Cunningham.
- MS. PATTERSON: Thank you.
- 24 BY MS. PATTERSON:

- Q. Mr. Cunningham, did you participate in your capacity as a utility analyst for the Staff in the negotiation of the Settlement Agreement that's been filed and marked as "Exhibit 7"?
- A. (Cunningham) Yes, I did.

- Q. And, could you please tell the Commission if that

 Settlement is consistent with your testimony that you filed, and how so?
- 9 A. (Cunningham) Yes, it is. It's consistent with my testimony.
 - Q. And, what about the Settlement Agreement is consistent with your testimony? Could you talk about the utilities' proposals and how those proposals meet the just and reasonable/public interest requirement, as well as any additional requirements that have been added through the Settlement Agreement?
 - A. (Cunningham) Yes. As the Chairman opened up the hearing this morning, he mentioned that it would be a hearing about the changes to the multi-year proposal that was filed last year. So, we have some midcourse corrections, some fine-tuning, if you will, to the agreements that was the agreement that was approved last year. And, those changes include changes to savings assumptions, changes to design, as well as

changes to -- associated changes to the cost-effectiveness of the programs.

In addition, there were a couple of changes that were agreed to by the utilities to provide additional reporting for financing options for customers.

With respect to the fine-tuning on savings and costs, I'd just notice -- I'd note, in summary, that the update includes a higher level of savings achieved at a lower level of cost, with programs -- all programs being cost-effective on the electrics and gas side. I'd note that the reporting requirements will better inform all the stakeholders about the progress of the financing programs.

And, I was very supportive of the filing in my testimony, and recommend that the Commission approve the filing.

- Q. Thank you. Would you like to speak at all to any of the specific changes that have been proposed or do you believe that they're fairly represented in the filing and your testimony?
- 22 A. (Cunningham) I believe they're fairly represented in my
 23 filing and testimony.
 - Q. Okay. And, would you agree with the testimony of the

```
1
          utilities on the panel that the Settlement Agreement --
          that the 2016 CORE revisions are consistent with the
 2
 3
          public interest and will result in just and reasonable
 4
          rates?
 5
          (Cunningham) Yes, I do.
 6
                         MS. PATTERSON: Thank you. No further
 7
       questions.
 8
                         MR. FOSSUM: And, nothing further on
 9
       direct.
10
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I assume,
11
      Mr. Sheehan, Mr. Taylor, and Mr. Dean, you probably have
12
       no questions, since these witnesses are speaking for all
13
       of you, is that correct?
14
                         MR. SHEEHAN: That is correct.
15
                         MR. TAYLOR: That is correct.
16
                         MR. DEAN: Correct.
17
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Labbe, do you
18
       have any questions for these witnesses?
19
                         MR. LABBE: No questions.
20
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Clouthier, do
21
       you have any questions?
22
                         MR. CLOUTHIER: No questions.
23
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Hatfield?
24
                         MS. HATFIELD: No questions.
                                                       Thank you.
```

1	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Chamberlin?
2	MS. CHAMBERLIN: Just one.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. CHAMBERLIN:
5	Q. It's my understanding that these proposed changes are
6	modifications, but don't fundamentally adjust the
7	currently effective CORE Programs. Is that a fair
8	summary?
9	A. (Belair) I think that's true, yes, for the most part.
10	MS. CHAMBERLIN: Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner Scott.
12	COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Thank you. Good
13	morning.
14	WITNESS BELAIR: Good morning.
15	WITNESS STANLEY: Good morning.
16	WITNESS CUNNINGHAM: Good morning.
17	COMMISSIONER SCOTT: A couple questions.
18	And, as usual, as much as anything, it's for my
19	edification generally.
20	BY COMMISSIONER SCOTT:
21	Q. I was just curious, obviously, that there is some
22	discussion about revised studies, for cost/benefit
23	studies, that type of thing. I was just curious, so,
24	am I correct that what I think I'm seeing is there's

more apparent savings now with the revised study, is
that a correct -- studies, is that a correct
assessment?

- A. (Belair) One of the major studies that got completed was Large C&I and New Equipment and Construction evaluation. And, it came up with a higher realization rate. That the savings that we reported were accurate, excuse me. And, so, the realization rates for those programs went up from like the 92 percent to about 100 percent. So, yes. That's one of the major changes in the -- you know, from a benefit/cost perspective, that the realization rates went up, the savings reported were accurate.
- Q. And, was that based on modeling or was that just more based on, now you have some history, you can match the two and see that they're jibing better, this correlation?
- A. (Belair) It was based on a little of both. It was the modeling, it was also on-site inspections, they put lighting loggers on some of the lights that got installed. They metered some of the equipment that got installed. So, there was more -- a lot of on-site inspections as well.
- Q. Thank you. I know, obviously, everybody is well aware,

- with the Energy Efficiency Resource Standard potential out there, we're kind of at a turning point perhaps in how the programs are. But I was curious to get some feedback on how the performance incentive is working that's currently in place?
- A. (Cunningham) I think it's working very effectively.

 It's generating savings that are in excess of plan savings, contributing to the incentive to the utilities to achieve savings that are in excess of plan savings at costs that are below budget. So, I would say that the performance incentive mechanism is working very well.
- A. (Stanley) I would just add, for Liberty Utilities, that we consider it a critical element for us in implementing the programs and driving performance.

 And, we think it works effectively as it does today.
- Q. Great. All right. And, for the utilities well, for everybody on the panel, I assume you're aware that, this is obliquely related to energy efficiency, for demand response, there's an active court case regarding FERC Order 745, which is an EPSA, "EPSA" is the name of the case. I guess my question would be, are you aware that there's a potential for some impacts on how energy efficiency is incorporated into the forward capacity

market, depending on how that ruling goes? And, are you following that, is my question?

A. (Belair) I think we are following that. And, you know, as part of Eversource, we're following it in multiple jurisdictions. So, it's becoming more and more important. And, we, you know, we looked at -- we've always looked at demand savings as a byproduct of the energy savings. So, we weren't completely focused on demand savings.

I think an evaluation got done in 2013 by Cadmus that we tried to look for some ancillary savings associated with weatherizing the homes, and, you know, things that we could do to reduce demand in the summer when we are in the house weatherizing the homes. And, we've incorporated those things into our programs.

But we recognize that there's going to be -- it's becoming, you know, more important, as we see kilowatt-hour sales flattening and kW peak demand still increasing. So, we understand that there will be some changes coming up, and we're looking forward to being part of that.

Q. And, again, I don't mean to signal -- I hope I'm not signaling that energy efficiency will be swept into

- that. I just want people cognizant that that's a possibility.
 - A. (Belair) Yes.

- Q. So, thank you. And, in reading the filing, and if I remember correctly, this was a Unitil-specific thing, but I was just curious to get some feedback on why the on-bill financing funds were not all spent? I don't know if the panel can answer that or if we need to look in the audience. So, I think there's a \$65,000 amount that wasn't spent. I was just curious.
- A. (Cunningham) The 65,000 was an additional provision made over and above the on-bill financing grant that was given to all the utilities, Unitil being one of them. And, this additional 65,000 turned out to be excess to the needs of the On-Bill Financing Program. However, the fundamental underpinnings on the On-Bill Financing Program is still working, that being the on-bill financing grant that was given to all the utilities.
- Q. And, you answered where I was going with that. My question ultimately was is, is there an issue with people not taking advantage of on-bill financing, if your testimony to the effect there's not a problem with that?

- 1 A. (Cunningham) I'm not that close to it, I'd defer to the utility colleagues here.
 - A. (Stanley) We don't see an issue with interest in the on-bill financing. We piloted, as you know, started in 2014 with the gas utilities, a third party financing effort, which we've seen a good amount of demand so far, over 100 loans collectively between the companies. But there's still a strong interest in the on-bill financing as well. And, we've tailored both the third party financing pilot and the on-bill to be complementary to each other, to stretch dollars as best we can. And, we see that continuing to work in the future.
- 14 COMMISSIONER SCOTT: Great. That's all
 15 I have. Thank you.
- 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
 17 Bailey.
- 18 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Mr. Belair, did
 19 you want to respond to that last question?
- 20 WITNESS BELAIR: No, I'm all set.
- 21 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay.
- 22 BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

23

24

Q. Can you tell me how you make your customers, your residential customers, aware of these programs?

- A. (Belair) Sure. You want to start?
- A. (Stanley) No, you can.

A. (Belair) You know, for residential programs, we have a number of mechanisms that we make customers aware of.

Depending on which program it is, they all have their own kind of marketing plan.

For ENERGY STAR Homes, we typically work with builders and the New Hampshire Builders

Association, and we try to get the builders aware of what it takes to build an ENERGY STAR home, and offer that as an up-sell to their customers.

We also, for mass-market programs, like lighting and appliance products, we provide information in bill inserts. We have an NHSaves, "New Hampshire saves" website that provides a lot of this information. We work with retailers around the state, over 100 lighting retailers, 100 appliance retailers, making sure that we have point-of-purchase materials in the store by the product that people might be purchasing.

For weatherization programs, we work with, like the local energy working groups, we work with -- and, I'm sorry, I can't -- I'm trying to remember the --

A. (Stanley) The weatherization contractors.

- A. (Belair) Well, weatherization contractors, but there's
 a -- it's program that --
- 3 A. (Stanley) Button-up workshops.
- 4 A. (Belair) Button-up workshops, thank you.

[Court reporter interruption.]

CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A. (Belair) Oh, button-up workshops. So, we work, we partner with others to put this training course on, to let people know what they can do to weatherize their home on their own, you know, simple things that they can do, but then to try to guide them into our program. Our weatherization contractors are, you know, they're always selling this program to get more work for them as well. And, I think, for the weatherization program, the best marketing is a happy customer, where they tell others, and we'll get others into it, too. But we inform customers about all this stuff on our bill inserts, so we can hit all of our customers as well.

BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY:

- Q. And, for customers that receive electronic bills, do they see this information?
- A. (Belair) They get, you know, a link to the bill insert, yes.
- 24 Q. So, it's just, if they click the link to the bill, and

- 1 they have to look beyond how much they owe you?
- 2 A. (Stanley) Right.
- 3 Α. (Belair) Bill inserts typically work when you're trying to promote something, like a second refrigerator 4 5 pick-up, if you're going to recycle refrigerators. You 6 say, you know, send a bill insert where it's going to 7 let people know that this is available, if they 8 purchased a new refrigerator or they have one in their 9 basement they'd like to get out, you know, let them 10 know that we have a pick-up service available.
- 11 Q. You do? Good to know.
- 12 A. (Belair) Well, we did, except the vendor went bankrupt
 13 last week. So, we're looking for a new one.
- 14 Q. Okay.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A. (Stanley) And, just to elaborate further on Tom's comments. Ultimately, there's a variety of activities we do on an ongoing basis, some more targeted than others, some more seasonal. And, certainly, it depends on the type of program we're talking about, whether it's on the large business/small business market sectors, residential, whether, for some programs, it could be not marketing directly to customers, but marketing to different marketing intermediaries, such as architects, engineers, contractors, builders,

retailers, as Tom had alluded to. We're doing a variety of tactics on an ongoing basis, whether -- Tom mentioned "bill inserts", but that's just one element. We have e-newsletters that we send out regularly to our customers to promote the programs. The "New Hampshire saves" website has been revamped over the past year, to be more informative and have more value-added content for customers. And, that's one thing, one resource that we're looking to expand upon next year, in terms of what is available for content on that website.

We're looking at further building out our energy blog. We're looking at developing case study videos for customers, as we're finding customers are more interested in watching a video explaining something, rather than reading text and trying to understand how something works. So, that's something we're excited to look to launch next year.

But it really does depend on the program. In some programs, where we might have very high demand, we might not be doing a lot of visible marketing, it might be more kind of hand-to-hand combat. But, for some other programs, where it's more of a mass-markets approach, where you need to generate demand, it typically involves a number of tactics

working in combination with each other. So, it's usually not just a one-size-fits-all approach for promoting the programs.

- Q. Do either of your companies conduct customer surveys?
- A. (Stanley) Yes. Liberty Utilities, I can't speak for the other utilities, we conduct an annual customer satisfaction survey. And, we've been doing that over the past four years, since Liberty Utilities formed in New Hampshire. And, our we specifically ask excuse me we specifically ask questions about awareness of our efficiency programs. And, we've seen a steady increase in awareness of both our gas and electric programs. From 2012, our awareness levels were around 30 percent. And, the most recent survey completed this past year puts our awareness levels over 50 percent, and approaching 60 percent in some cases. So, we're really happy to see that trend upward, and we're hoping to continue to see that improve over time.
- Q. Okay. And, how about Eversource?
- A. (Belair) Yes. We do some evaluations as well with customers. But, you know, one of the things we also do is, when we do an impact or a process evaluation as part of the programs, we look to see, get -- find out customer awareness of the programs as well.

- [WITNESSES: Belair~Stanley~Cunningham] 1 The last major evaluation that got done 2 with the technical potential study, and this was a 3 while ago, but it checked awareness of the programs. 4 And, the awareness on the commercial and industrial programs was in the 70 percent range, and then the 5 6 residential programs, the awareness of customers of the 7 residential programs was in the 50 percent range. 8 And, what kind of study was that? Q. 9 (Belair) It was a technical potential study. 10 What does that mean? Q. 11 (Belair) It was a study that was commissioned by the Α.
- 11 A. (Belair) It was a study that was commissioned by the
 12 Public Utilities Commission to determine how much
 13 potential energy savings existed out in the marketplace
 14 in New Hampshire by customer classes.
- 15 Q. So, who did you contact to conduct that study?
- 16 A. (Belair) GDS Associates was the evaluation contractor
 17 that was hired to do this. And, they randomly selected
 18 customers in the State of New Hampshire.
- 19 Q. Just random customers?
- 20 A. (Belair) Yes.
- 21 Q. And, 50 percent of them were aware?
- 22 A. (Belair) Yes.
- Q. Okay. When was that conducted, do you know? Was it five years ago? Or, last year?

- 1 A. (Belair) I'm not remembering the year. I'm thinking
- 2 it's 1999, but I think that was too far ago.
- 3 A. (Stanley) It was 2009.
- 4 A. (Belair) 2009.
- 5 A. (Stanley) 2009.
- Q. 2009. So, do you have -- do you have regular surveys of your customers?
- A. (Belair) From an energy efficiency perspective, we do

 it through the evaluations. But Eversource does do

 surveys of customers to see customer satisfaction, and

 questions about awareness of the programs.
- Q. So, on customer satisfaction surveys, there are questions about customer awareness?
- A. (Belair) There have been. I haven't seen any recently, unfortunately. But we've done that in the past.
- 16 Q. Okay. Maybe, could you ask them to consider doing that
 17 and adding those questions?
- 18 A. (Belair) Yes.
- 19 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Because I think
 20 that data is informative, and I'd like to hear about it
 21 next time. Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Other than perhaps
 23 wanting to see the PowerPoint slides of the marketing
 24 meetings at Liberty, where they describe marketing as

```
"hand-to-hand combat", I don't think I have any questions.
 1
 2
                         Mr. Fossum or Ms. Patterson, do you have
 3
       any follow-up questions for these witnesses?
 4
                         MR. FOSSUM: I do not. Thank you.
 5
                         MS. PATTERSON:
                                        No thank you.
 6
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right.
                                                          I think
 7
       we're done with the witnesses. You gentlemen can stay
       where you are or you can return to your seats, at your
 8
 9
      preference.
10
                         I'll be shocked if there's any objection
11
       to striking the ID on Exhibits 5, 6, and 7?
12
                         [No verbal response]
13
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none, we'll
14
       strike the ID, and those are now full exhibits.
15
                         I think the only thing left to do is to
16
       allow everyone to sum up, and we'll do all of the
17
       utilities last together. So, Mr. Labbe, do you have
18
       anything we need to know before we leave?
19
                         MR. LABBE: Just real briefly,
20
       Commissioners. The Way Home does support the Settlement
21
       Agreement. And, we appreciate the ongoing support of the
22
       Parties in committing at least 15 and a half percent to
23
       the low income home energy assistance programs. Thank yo.
24
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Clouthier.
```

MR. CLOUTHIER: 1 Thank you, 2 Commissioners. Just that the Community Action Agencies 3 support this. Thank you. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Hatfield. 5 MS. HATFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 6 OEP also supports the Settlement Agreement. And, we think 7 Staff and the Parties for the collaborative work that 8 brought up to this Settlement. I wanted to just highlight a couple of 9 10 things in the Settlement for the Commission. On Page 2, 11 Section II, under the heading "Settlement Terms", there 12 are a few modifications I wanted to call to your 13 attention. One is that, consistent with something that 14 Mr. Cunningham raised in his testimony, the utilities 15 agree to update certain quarterly reports. And, as you 16 can see in the attachment, one of the things that the 17 utilities agreed to add is information about energy 18 savings, which OEP thinks is very important, and we thank 19 the utilities for agreeing to do that. 20 We also wanted to point your attention 21 to the first full paragraph on Page 3, noting that 22 Eversource has agreed to continue efforts to ensure that

Manager" will be able to interface with the utility's new

the free public resource known as "EPA's Portfolio

23

customer engagement platform. We think that's important, because a lot of municipalities in New Hampshire are using that tool to try to track their energy usage to save energy, and also the state is exploring using Portfolio Manager for our state buildings. So, we thank Eversource for being willing to do that.

We also agree with Mr. Labbe, that we appreciate the continuing support for dedicated low income resources. They, unfortunately, are not enough, but we appreciate everyone's work in that regard.

Lastly, we just wanted to amplify that OEP continues to believe that energy efficiency should be really the first order resource in all of our electric and gas utilities' portfolios. So, we'll continue to work with the Parties, both in the CORE docket, but also in the EERS proceeding. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Chamberlin.

MS. CHAMBERLIN: Thank you. The

Consumer Advocate supports the Settlement Agreement, as it

is a continuation of the cost-effective programs. The

modifications keep the programs up-to-date. And, we

expect to revisit the process in the EERS proceeding.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Patterson.

1	MS. PATTERSON: Thank you. The Staff
2	supports the Settlement Agreement, and thanks the Parties
3	for their assistance in bringing it to you today.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Fossum.
5	MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. We also, quite
6	obviously, we support the Settlement Agreement, and the
7	underlying September filing that the utilities had
8	provided. We believe that it is an appropriate and
9	meaningful continuation of useful and helpful programs.
LO	And, we're very supportive of those programs. And, we
L1	appreciate that the others in the room have been
L2	supportive through this process, in the 2016 update, and
L3	we look forward to working with these Parties and others
L 4	as these programs are implemented.
L5	The only request that I would make is
L6	that I would hope the Commission would be able to issue an
L7	order in sufficient time to ensure that the programs could
L8	be continued on January 1, 2016, and that there wouldn't
L9	be a lapse in the programs pending an order. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: You answered the
21	question I was going to ask. Thank you.
22	Is there anything else we need to know
23	or hear before we adjourn?
24	[No verbal response]

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Seeing none, thank
 2
       you all. We will adjourn.
 3
                          (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
 4
                         10:32 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```